METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CHECKING COMMUNICATED DATA

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates generally to
5   communication systems, and more particularly, the
present invention relates to a method and apparatus
for checking radio link protocol (RLP) data within a
communication system.

Background of the Invention
10
Within a Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA),
and other communication system types, communicated
information, either voice or data, is carried
between communication resources, e.g., a
15   radiotelephone and a base station, on a
communication channel. Within broadband, spread
spectrum communication systems, such as CDMA based
communication systems in accordance with Interim
Standard IS-95B, a spreading code is used to define
20   the communication channel.

In addition to a primary channel, the
communication channel may also include one or more
secondary channels. The secondary channels provide
an ability to increase bandwidth by permitting
25   transmission of information within these secondary
channels during transmission of data, e.g., coded
voice or data, within the primary channel. The
primary channel is used to carry, either at a full
transmission rate or some sub-rate, data such as
30   coded voice or data. When not carrying data, the
primary channel carries an idle data pattern.

CE08291R-Hetherington et al.
Express Mail Label: EJ923752127US

-1-

The secondary channels may carry virtually any form of data, including what is referred to as RLP data. However, the secondary channels do not always carry data. For example, according to the IS-95B

5 standard for high-speed data services over CDMA cellular systems, a given call may concatenate up to 7 supplemental channels with the fundamental channel to transmit high bandwidth data. The supplemental channels are required by IS-95B to either transmit

10 at full rate or to mute the transmitter. The problem is that when the supplemental channels are muted, the receiver has no way of knowing this and the receiver attempts to decode the air signals as if a full rate frame was sent. Muting the

15 transmitter results in a random data pattern, and it is this random data pattern that the receiver attempts to decode. The frame CRC is intended to screen these decoded random data frames; however, the IS-95B frame CRC is only 12-bits. This means

20 for random data, the frame CRC will pass a frame as valid on average once every 4096 muted frames.

Interim Standard IS-707A specifies the transmission of RLP data, but provides very little error checking of data frames received. When

25 corrupt data frames are received by the RLP layer after falsely passing the frame CRC, the typical result is a reset of the RLP layer. This causes data loss and requests for retransmission by higher layer protocols. When the RLP layer receives the

30 corrupt data frame, it will detect missing data frames from the currently expected sequence number

up to the sequence number of the corrupt data frame and will request retransmission of these data frames from the peer RLP layer. Since the frames detected as missing by one RLP layer were never really sent

5   by the peer RLP layer, the peer RLP layer cannot comply with the request. According to the IS-707A standard, the RLP layers need to resynchronize via the RLP reset procedure. In most cases, this will result in data loss to the higher layers, which

10   produces the overall effect of degraded bandwidth. This can also affect triggering of the dormant timer for packet data since the retransmission requests and the RLP reset procedure appear as activity and reset the timer. Timer reset can cause calls that

15   are effectively idle, to remain active and consume resources.

        Thus, there is a need for a method and apparatus for providing RLP data checking in a communication system.

20

Brief Description of the Drawings

        FIG. 1 is a block diagram of a supplemental band processing apparatus incorporating an RLP data

25   checking stage in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the invention.

        FIG. 2 is a block diagram illustrating an apparatus for providing RLP data checking in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the

30   invention.

FIG. 3 is a block diagram illustration of the frame serialization function illustrated in the block diagram of FIG. 2.

FIG. 4 is a flow chart illustrating a method of
5   RLP data checking in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the invention.

Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments

10   An apparatus and method provide RLP data checking. In accordance with preferred embodiments of the invention, an apparatus includes a frame serialization stage and a bad frame filter that detects possible bad frames and reclassifies these
15   bad frames as erasures. In this manner, bad frame data are not passed to the RLP layer, and unnecessary resetting and resynchronization steps are avoided.

With reference to FIG. 1, a data processing
20   apparatus 10 includes a symbol quality assessment stage 12, a frame CRC stage 14 and a RLP data layer 16. The symbol quality assessment stage 12 evaluates data frames utilizing a weighting procedure to ensure that no more than a
25   predetermined percent of the bad frames are passed on to the CRC stage 14. To overcome problems associated with passing random frames from the CRC stage 14, it is possible to adjust the threshold of the symbol quality assessment stage 12. However,
30   such an adjustment would necessarily result in rejecting a higher percentage of good frames.

Rejecting good frames requires higher layer activity
to request retransmission of these frames. Thus,
adjusting the symbol quality assessment stage 12 is
not a viable solution to the problem of passing bad

5   frames to the RLP layer 16. Therefore, to overcome
the problem of passing bad frames to the RLP data
layer 16, disposed between the CRC stage 14 and the
RLP data layer 16 is a pre-RLP data checking stage
18.

10   FIG. 2 illustrates the pre-RLP data checking
stage 18, which, as can be seen from FIG. 2,
includes a frame serialization stage 20 and a bad
frame filter stage 22. The frame serialization
stage 20 receives RLP frames from the IS-95 layer,

15   and serializes them according to the frame sequence
number associated with each frame.

The process of frame serialization is
illustrated in FIG. 3, wherein a plurality of frames
having sequence numbers 24 are received by the frame

20   serialization stage 20. The frame serialization
stage further receives expected sequence number
data, V(R), from the RLP layer. An output of the
frame serialization stage 20 is a serialized
sequence of frames 26. In the example illustrated

25   in FIG. 3, the expected sequence number, V(R) is 11,
the received frames are numbered 12, 13, 15, 16 and
99 along with an erasure frame 28 and an invalid
frame 30. The frame serialization stage 20 is
operable to insert a place holding frame "X" in

30   place of potentially missing frames. Thus, the
serialized frame sequence 32 is shown in FIG. 3 as

"X 12 13 X 15 16 99" where "Xs" have been inserted
for the apparently missing frames 11 and 14. Once
the sequence has been serialized, the sequenced
frames may be filtered by the bad frame filter stage

5   22. In accordance with a preferred embodiment of
the invention, the frame bearing sequence number
"99" would be reclassified as an erasure because it
is substantially out of sequence in comparison with
the expected sequence number and the maximum number

10   of frames that could be received within a particular
time slot.

        A process 400 for filtering used by the bad
frame filter stage 22 is illustrated in the flow
chart of FIG. 4. A feature of the bad frame filter

15   stage 22 is the reclassification of suspected bad
frame data as erasures. Erasures are recognized by
the RLP layer, and do not cause initiation of reset
procedures. The process 400 starts at step 402 and
a consecutive erasure/invalid counter, Ci, and a

20   consecutive serial erasure counter, Cs, are reset.
For each frame received, step 406, the process first
determines whether the frame is a new RLP data frame
or not, step 408. RLP control frames and
retransmitted data frames are not filtered by the

25   process since RLP already protects against errors
for these types of frames. For the purposes of this
process, these frame types are treated as
erasure/invalid frames and the consecutive erasure
counters are incremented, step 422.

30   If the received frame is a new data frame, the
consecutive erasure counter for the given channel is

compared against a threshold value, step 410, to
determine whether the frame is eligible for being
discarded. If it is, then the frame sequence number
of the received frame is compared with the next

5   expected sequence number $V_s(R)$, step 412, and to the
number of consecutive erasures from the serialized
frame sequence 32, step 414, to determine whether
the frame is valid or not. If the frame is
considered to have an invalid sequence number, the

10   consecutive reclassification counter is incremented,
step 416, and compared to a threshold, step 418. If
the threshold has not been exceeded, the frame is
discarded, step 420, and the consecutive erasure
counters are updated, step 422. Otherwise the frame

15   is considered valid since it is possible that the
frame was received within the elapsed time. In this
case, the consecutive reclassification frames
counter Cn is reset, step 424, $V_s(R)$ is updated to be
one greater than the valid sequence number, steps

20   426 and 428 and the counters Ci and Cs are reset.
It should be noted that $V_s(R)$ is reinitialized to
V(R) (from the RLP layer) at the beginning of each
20 millisecond (ms) frame block.

        The proposed process has been designed with
25   several configurable parameters to alter its
behavior. The consecutive channel erasure threshold
(T_i) may be used to prevent reclassification when a
given channel is transmitting on most of its
timeslots. The consecutive reclassification

30   threshold is designed to protect the algorithm in
the event that a gap in RLP sequence number does

develop without the appropriate amount of elapsed time. The sequence number guard pad allows the algorithm to allow some small deviations in sequencing before declaring the frame invalid and

5   forcing reclassification.

The process described could also be expanded to delay decisions on frame reclassification until the next set of frames has arrived. This would introduce some delay in the process; however,

10   information regarding further sequence numbers may strengthen the algorithm and reduce the probability of bad decisions. For example, if a group of frames appear substantially out of sequence, it may be because one or more data packet(s) has/have been

15   delayed. The next sequence of frames may reveal that an otherwise out of sequence frame, is the correct next frame.

The invention has been described in terms of several preferred embodiments. These preferred

20   embodiments are meant to be illustrative of the invention, and not limiting of its broad scope, which is set forth in the following claims. For example, the invention may find application in systems designed in accordance with the IS-95A

25   single variable rate channel structure or in accordance with the IS-95C channel structure, or in any radio-frequency environment where RLP is utilized. One of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that the invention will benefit virtually

30   any communication system where discontinuous transmission (DTX) is utilized as such system will

be susceptible to passing with some probability
random data as valid data. In accordance with the
invention, and in such system, suspect omitted are
detected and place holder frames inserted and/or
5   suspect bad data frames are detected and
reclassified, for example, as erasure frames, before
being passed to the data detector.